UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,734	02/02/2007	Paul Andrew Evans	DUMMETT-043XX	7698
28452 BOURQUE & A	7590 04/30/201 <b>ASSOCIATES</b>	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ATTORNEYS, P.A.			HUYNH, KHOA B	
835 HANOVER STREET SUITE 301		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MANCHESTE	R, NH 03104	2462		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/30/2010	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/567,734	EVANS, PAUL ANDREW		
Examiner	Art Unit		
KHOA HUYNH	2462		

	KHOA HUYNH	2462					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>23 April 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
NOTICE OF APPEAL							
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.112	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).				
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>	:						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-12.		l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.  10. The first interest of the sum	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ıl and/or appellant fail: ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/Seema S. Rao/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2462							

Applicant's proposed amendments raised several new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. For example, newly amended claim 1 added "content server coupled to a wide are network by an originating terminal, said originating terminal coupled by means of a local area network to each of said plurality of terminals" and "said associated, direct, wide area network connection to the Internet of a first one of said first plurality of terminals in the local area network different from an associated, direct, wide area network connection to the Internet of the remainder of said first plurality of terminals in the local area network, the plurality of packets being distributed to said first plurality of terminals over the local area network", "a reconstitution server coupled to the Internet such that the originating terminal shares the bandwidth of the associated, different and direct wide area connections of said first plurality of terminals", "the reconstitution server receiving the plurality of packets via a plurality of said associated, different and direct wide area connections, reconstituting the plurality of packets into said request for information from said content server, and sending the reconstituted plurality of packets to the content server". Claim 6 added "wherein each of said plurality of terminals sends packets received to the reconstitution server via each said at least some terminal's separate associated and direct wide area connections, such that the originating terminal shares the bandwidth of the separate, associated and direct wide area connections of said at least some of said terminals and wherein the reconstitution server sends the plurality of packets to the content server."